## No- $\pi$ Theorem for Euclidean Massless Correlators



#### **Konstantin Chetyrkin** (UHH)



in collaboration with Pavel Baikov (MSU)

#### Starting point: 1991

The seminal calculation /Gorishnii, Kataev, Larin/ of the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  Adler function demonstrated for the first time a mysterious complete cancellation of **all** contributions proportional to  $\zeta_4$  (abounding in separate diagrams) while odd zetas  $\zeta_3$  and  $\zeta_5$  survive! The result is  $\pi$ -free ( $\zeta_4 = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$  and  $\zeta_6 = \frac{\pi^6}{945}$ )

$$d_{2} = -\frac{3}{32}C_{F}^{2} + C_{F}T_{f}\left[\zeta_{3} - \frac{11}{8}\right] + C_{F}C_{A}\left[\frac{123}{32} - \frac{11\zeta_{3}}{4}\right],$$

$$d_{3} = -\frac{69}{128}C_{F}^{3} + C_{F}^{2}T_{f}\left[-\frac{29}{64} + \frac{19}{4}\zeta_{3} - 5\zeta_{5}\right] + C_{F}T_{f}^{2}\left[\frac{151}{54} - \frac{19}{9}\zeta_{3}\right] + C_{F}^{2}C_{A}\left[-\frac{127}{64} - \frac{143}{16}\zeta_{3} + \frac{55}{4}\zeta_{5}\right]$$

$$+ C_{F}T_{f}C_{A}\left[-\frac{485}{27} + \frac{112}{9}\zeta_{3} + \frac{5}{6}\zeta_{5}\right] + C_{F}C_{A}^{2}\left[\frac{90445}{3456} - \frac{2737}{144}\zeta_{3} - \frac{55}{24}\zeta_{5}\right],$$

the authors wrote: "We would like to stress the cancellations of  $\zeta_4$  in the final results for R(s). It is very interesting to find the origin of the cancellation of  $\zeta_4$  in the physical quantity."

The situation got even more interesting about 20 years later: the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$  contributions to the Adler function and to the coefficient function (CF) of  $C_{Bjp}$  the Bjorken sum rule /Baikov, Kühn, K. Ch. (2009-2010)/ were found to be

# completely $\pi$ -free

 $\star$  we do not consider any powers of  $\pi$  which are routinely generated during the procedure of analytical continuation to the Minkowskian (negative) values of the momentum transfer  $Q^2$ )

	$igg  d_4$	$(1/C^{Bjp})_4$
$C_F^4$	$\frac{4157}{2048} + \frac{3}{8} \zeta_3$	$\frac{4157}{2048} + \frac{3}{8} \zeta_3$
$\frac{n_f \frac{d_F^{abcd} d_F^{abcd}}{d_R}}{\frac{d_F^{abcd} d_A^{abcd}}{d_R}}$	$-\frac{13}{16} - \zeta_3 + \frac{5}{2}\zeta_5$	$-\frac{13}{16} - \zeta_3 + \frac{5}{2}\zeta_5$
$rac{d_F^{abcd}d_A^{abcd}}{d_R}$	$\frac{3}{16} - \frac{1}{4}\zeta_3 - \frac{5}{4}\zeta_5$	$\frac{3}{16} - \frac{1}{4}\zeta_3 - \frac{5}{4}\zeta_5$
$C_F T_f^3$	$-\frac{6131}{972} + \frac{203}{54} \zeta_3 + \frac{5}{3} \zeta_5$	$-\frac{605}{972}$
$C_F^2 T_f^2$	$\frac{5713}{1728} - \frac{581}{24} \zeta_3 + \frac{125}{6} \zeta_5 + 3 \zeta_3^2$	$\frac{869}{576} - \frac{29}{24} \zeta_3$
$C_F T_f^2 C_A$	$\frac{340843}{5184} - \frac{10453}{288} \zeta_3 - \frac{170}{9} \zeta_5 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3^2$	$\frac{165283}{20736} + \frac{43}{144} \zeta_3 - \frac{5}{12} \zeta_5 + \frac{1}{6} \zeta_3^2 EQN$
$C_F^3 T_f$	$\frac{1001}{384} + \frac{99}{32} \zeta_3 - \frac{125}{4} \zeta_5 + \frac{105}{4} \zeta_7$	$-\frac{473}{2304} - \frac{391}{96} \zeta_3 + \frac{145}{24} \zeta_5$
$C_F^2 T_f C_A$	$\frac{32357}{13824} + \frac{10661}{96} \zeta_3 - \frac{5155}{48} \zeta_5 - \frac{33}{4} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{105}{8} \zeta_7$	$-\frac{17309}{13824} + \frac{1127}{144} \zeta_3 - \frac{95}{144} \zeta_5 - \frac{35}{4} \zeta_7$
$C_F T_f C_A^2$	$-\frac{(\cdots)}{(\cdots)} + \frac{8609}{72} \zeta_3 + \frac{18805}{288} \zeta_5 - \frac{11}{2} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{35}{16} \zeta_7$	
$C_F^3 C_A$	$-\frac{253}{32} - \frac{139}{128} \zeta_3 + \frac{2255}{32} \zeta_5 - \frac{1155}{16} \zeta_7$	$-\frac{8701}{4608} + \frac{1103}{96} \zeta_3 - \frac{1045}{48} \zeta_5$
$C_F^2C_A^2$	$-\frac{592141}{18432} - \frac{43925}{384}  \zeta_3 + \frac{6505}{48}  \zeta_5 + \frac{1155}{32}  \zeta_7$	$-\frac{435425}{55296} - \frac{1591}{144} \zeta_3 + \frac{55}{9} \zeta_5 + \frac{385}{16} \zeta_7$
$C_F C_A^3$	$\left(\frac{(\cdots)}{(\cdots)} - \frac{(\cdots)}{(\cdots)}\zeta_3 - \frac{77995}{1152}\zeta_5 + \frac{605}{32}\zeta_3^2 - \frac{385}{64}\zeta_7\right)$	$\frac{(\cdots)}{(\cdots)} - \frac{(\cdots)}{(\cdots)} \zeta_3 - \frac{12545}{1152} \zeta_5 + \frac{121}{96} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{385}{64} \zeta_7$

Transcedentals: odd zetas:  $\zeta_3,\zeta_5,\zeta_7$  BUT NOT even ones  $\zeta_4$  or  $\zeta_6$ 

What is common between the Adler function and  $C_{Bjp}$ ? They both are "physical" (no anomalous dimension, depend only on the bare cc  $\alpha_s$ ).

The Adler function  $D^{SS}$  for the scalar correlator is  $\pi$ -dependent already at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)^*$  and even more at the next loop (expilict  $\zeta_4$  and  $\zeta_6$  terms)\*\*

In fact, one can construct a physical (read: scale-independent) object from  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^L)$   $D^{SS}$  and the (L+1)-loop quark mass anomalous dimension  $\gamma_m$ .

For  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$   $D^{SS}$  it was done with expected result: all  $\pi$  dependence indeed disappeared! /Vermaseren, Larin van Ritbergen (1997)/

BUT for  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$  correlators this stopped to work:

It was found /Baikov, K. Ch. Kühn (2017)/ that  $\zeta_4$  does not dissappear from a scale-independent (SI) object constructed from  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$   $D^{SS}$  and 5-loop AD  $\gamma_m$ .

 $\zeta_4$  also does not dissappear from the 5-loop gluon correlator (enters the hadronic decays of the Higgs boson) computed in

/ Herzog, Ruijl, Ueda, Vermaseren and Vogt (2017)/.

**<sup>★</sup>** K. K. Ch. (1997).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Baikov, Kühn, K. Ch. (2006)

## 2017: 2 new important developments

- 5-loop QCD  $\beta$ -function and quark AD  $\gamma_m$  were computed /Baikov, K. Ch. Kühn; Herzog, Ruijl, Ueda, Vermaseren and Vogt; Luthe, Maier, Marquard and Schroder/. First appearence of  $\pi$  in  $\beta_5$  (in form of  $\zeta_4$ )
- Jamin and Miravitllas have discovered that after a transition to a new so-called C-scheme all terms proportional to even zetas ( $\zeta_4$  and  $\zeta_6$ ) do disappear from (SI versions of) the 5-loop scalar correlator and the 5-loop gluon correlator (both enter the hadronic decays of the Higgs boson /Baikov, K. Ch. Kühn (2005); Herzog, Ruijl, Ueda, Vermaseren and Vogt (2017)).

They also suggested that the absence of even zetas after transition to the C-scheme is an universal feature of all  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5)$  physical quantities  $\equiv$  no  $\pi$ -conjecture

Later many more particular confirmations of the conjecture have been found and discussed and used for non-tivial check of many multiloop (4 and 5) results for ADs in /Davies and Vogt (2017); K. Ch, G. Falcioni, Herzog and Vermaseren (2017)/

## A word about notations and conventions (goodbye $\beta_0$ and $\gamma_0$ )

we use

$$1. \qquad \gamma(a) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \gamma_i \, a^i, \quad a = rac{lpha_s}{4\pi}$$

$$\mathbf{2}. \qquad \beta(a) = \sum_{i>1} \beta_i \, a^i$$

- 3. Landau gauge for QCD (for simplicity, could be relaxed)
- 4. G-scheme instead of  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  one: all ADs and betas are not different from their  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  versions but the simplest 1-loop p-integral is just identically equal  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ :

$$rac{1}{i(2\pi)^D}\intrac{d^Dl}{(-l^2)(-(q-l)^2)}=rac{1}{(4\pi)^2\,(-q^2)^\epsilon}rac{1}{\epsilon}$$

for finite renormalized quantities: 
$$\Big(\ln\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\Big)_G o \Big(\ln\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\Big)_{\overline{\sf MS}} + 2$$

#### **2017: BIG PUZZLE**

What is special in the C-scheme<sup>★</sup>?

$$a = \bar{a} (1 + c_1 \bar{a} + c_2 \bar{a}^2 + c_3 \bar{a}^3 + c_4 \bar{a}^4)$$

with  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  are made from  $\beta_1 - \beta_4$  (all free from even zetas) and with

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\beta_5}{\beta_1}$$

any SI  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5)$  correlator  $F(\bar{a})$  as well the very  $\beta$ -function  $\bar{\beta}(\bar{a})$  loose any dependence on even zetas. We will call the class of renormalization schemes for which

$$\bar{\beta}(\bar{a}) \stackrel{\pi}{=} 0$$

as  $\pi$ -independent schemes

★C-scheme has some interesting features and applications, not relevant in our context of  $\pi$ -hunting; see /Boito, Jamin and Miravitllas, [1606.06175]/

To really appreciate the mystery behind these cancellations induced by the C-scheme, please, look on the following simple facts:

- 1. a bare physical (massless!) quantity depends on the bare coupling constant, say,  $\alpha_s^B$ ;
- 2. its renormalization is done with the replacement  $\alpha_s^B=Z_a\alpha_s$ ;
- 3. the charge renormalization constant  $Z_a$  depends on the five-loop coefficient in the  $\beta$ -function— $\beta_5$ —starting from the fifth order,  $\alpha_s^5$ ;
- 4. as a result the renormalized physical quantity starts to "feel"  $\beta_5$  only at astonishingly large sixth order in  $\alpha_s$ ;
- 5. for the case of the scalar correlator the contribution of order  $\alpha_s^6$  corresponds to the fabulously large 7-loop level

Explanation of the mystery: the  $\zeta_4$  term in the  $\beta_5$  is, in fact, not independent and not genuinely 5-loop but meets a simple factorization formula  $(F^{\zeta_i} = \lim_{\zeta_i \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i} F)$ :

$$\beta_5^{\zeta_4} = \frac{9}{8} \beta_1 \, \beta_4^{\zeta_3}$$

The factorization is not trivial at all:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_4} \beta_5 = \frac{9}{8} \left( \frac{4}{3} n_f T_F - \frac{11}{3} C_A \right) \left( \frac{44}{9} C_A^4 - \frac{136}{3} C_A^3 n_f T_F \right) 
+ \frac{656}{9} C_A^2 C_F n_f T_F - \frac{224}{9} C_A^2 n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{352}{9} C_A C_F^2 n_f T_F 
- \frac{448}{9} C_A C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{704}{9} C_F^2 n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{704}{3} \frac{d_A^{abcd} d_A^{abcd}}{N_A} 
+ \frac{1664}{3} \frac{d_F^{abcd} d_A^{abcd}}{N_A} n_f - \frac{512}{3} \frac{d_F^{abcd} d_F^{abcd}}{N_A} n_f^2 \right)$$

## $\pi$ -structure of the master p-integrals

We will call a (bare) L-loop p-integral  $F(Q^2, \epsilon)$   $\pi$ -safe if the  $\pi$ -dependence of its pole in  $\epsilon$  and constant part can be completely absorbed into the properly defined "hatted" odd zetas.

The first observation of a non-trivial class of  $\pi$ -safe p-integrals — all 3-loop ones — was made in /Broadhurst (1999)/ An extension of the observation on the class of all 4-loop p-integrals was performed in /Baikov, K.Ch. (2010)/ Here it was shown that, given an arbitrary 4-loop p-integral, its pole in  $\epsilon$  and constant part depend on even zetas *only* via the following combinations:

$$\hat{\zeta}_3 := \zeta_3 + \frac{3\epsilon}{2}\zeta_4 - \frac{5\epsilon^3}{2}\zeta_6, \ \hat{\zeta}_5 := \zeta_5 + \frac{5\epsilon}{2}\zeta_6 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\zeta}_7 := \zeta_7.$$

Exact meaning for a 4-loop p-integral  $F_4$ :

$$F_4(\zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5, \zeta_6, \zeta_7) = F_4(\hat{\zeta}_3, 0, \hat{\zeta}_5, 0, \hat{\zeta}_7) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

A generalization of the ★ for L=5 has been recently constructed in /Georgoudis, Goncalves, Panzer, Pereira, [1802.00803]/

## $\hat{G}$ -scheme

Let us define the  $\widehat{G}$ -scheme by pretending that hatted zetas do not depend on  $\epsilon$ . This means that all p-integrals are assumed to be expressed in term of the hatted zetas and that the extraction of the pole part of a p-integral is defined as:

$$\hat{K}\Big(\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)\prod_{j}\hat{\zeta}_{j}\Big) := \left(\sum_{i<0}\mathcal{P}_{i}\,\epsilon^{j}
ight)\prod_{j}\hat{\zeta}_{j},$$

with  $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon) = \sum_i \epsilon^i \mathcal{P}_i$  being a polynomial in  $\epsilon$  with rational coefficients. The corresponding coupling constant will be denoted as  $\hat{a}$ .

The  $\hat{G}$ -scheme has some remarkable features. Indeed, one can see just from its definition that the corresponding "hatted" Green function, ADs and Z-factors can be obtained from the normal (that is computed with the G-scheme) by very simple rules.

- As a first step we make a formal replacement of the coupling constant a by  $\hat{a}$  in every G-renormalized Green function, AD and Z-factor we want to transform to the  $\hat{G}$ -scheme.
- Renormalized Green function  $\hat{F}(\hat{a})$  is obtained from  $F(\hat{a})$  by setting to zero all even zetas in the latter (both are assumed as taken at  $\epsilon = 0$ ).
- The same rule works for ADs and  $\beta$ -functions.
- If Z is a (G-scheme) renormalization constant then one should not only nullify all even zetas in  $Z(\hat{a})$  but also replace every odd zeta term in it with its "hatted" counterpart.

# $\hat{G}$ -scheme: useful properties and benefits

- 1. All 2-point (masless, but not necessarily SI) correlators (at least to 5 loops),  $\beta$ functions and ADs (at least to 6 loops) are  $\pi$ -free in  $\hat{G}$ -scheme
- 2. It is more or less obvious that a change of scheme from  $\hat{G}$  one to any other  $\pi$ -free(!) scheme will not induce any  $\pi$ -dependence in correlators. Thus, with the help of the  $\hat{G}$ -scheme the no- $\pi$ -conjecture is upgraded to a

#### **BIG** No- $\pi$ Theorem

Let F be any L-loop massless correlator and all L-loop p-integrals form a  $\pi$ -safe class. Then F is  $\pi$ -free in any (massless) renormalization scheme for which corresponding  $\beta$ -function and AD  $\gamma$  are both  $\pi$ -free at least at the level of L+1 loops.

## $\hat{G}$ -scheme: constraints on even zetas

Suppose we know a result for an AD  $\hat{\gamma} := (\gamma)_{\hat{G}-\text{scheme}}$  as well as the precise way how hatted zetas are related to the normal ones. The infromation should be enough to construct the result in normal, say,  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme Thus, all terms proportional to even zetas in  $\gamma$  should be possible to recover. To do this let us consider the relation between  $\hat{a}$  and a:

$$\hat{a} = a \left( 1 + \sum_{1 \le i \le L} c_i \, a^i \right),$$

As the bare charge must not depend on the choice of the renormalization scheme the coefficients  $c_i$  are fixed by requiring that

$$Z_a a = \hat{Z}_a(\hat{a})\hat{a}$$

For simplicity we start from the case of 4 loops. On general grounds we can write

$$\beta = \beta_1 a + \beta_2 a^2 + (r_3 + \beta_3^{\zeta_3} \zeta_3) a^3 + (r_4 + \beta_4^{\zeta_3} \zeta_3 + \beta_4^{\zeta_4} \zeta_4 + \beta_4^{\zeta_5} \zeta_5) a^4$$

where  $r_i$  is  $\beta_i$  with all zetas set to zero

The corresponding RCs  $Z_a$  and  $\hat{Z}_a$  read:

$$Z_{a} = 1 + \frac{a\beta_{1}}{\epsilon} + a^{2} \left( \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \beta_{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \beta_{1}^{2} \right) + a^{3} \left( \frac{1}{3\epsilon} \left( r_{3} + \beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{7}{6\epsilon^{2}} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \beta_{1}^{3} \right)$$

$$+ a^{4} \left( \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \left( r_{4} + \beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}} \zeta_{3} + \beta_{4}^{\zeta_{4}} \zeta_{4} + \beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}} \zeta_{5} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left( \frac{5}{6} \beta_{1} r_{3} + \frac{5}{6} \beta_{1} \beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} \zeta_{3} + \frac{3}{8} \beta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{23}{12\epsilon^{3}} \beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \beta_{1}^{4} \right)$$

$$(1)$$

and

$$\hat{Z}_{a} = 1 + \frac{\hat{a}}{\epsilon} \beta_{1} + \hat{a}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \beta_{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \beta_{1}^{2} \right) + \hat{a}^{3} \left( \frac{1}{3\epsilon} (r_{3} + \beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} \hat{\zeta}_{3}) + \frac{7}{6\epsilon^{2}} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \beta_{1}^{3} \right) 
+ \hat{a}^{4} \left( \frac{1}{4\epsilon} (r_{4} + \beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}} \hat{\zeta}_{3} + \beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}} \hat{\zeta}_{5}) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left( \frac{5}{6} \beta_{1} r_{3} + \frac{5}{6} \beta_{1} \beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} \hat{\zeta}_{3} + \frac{3}{8} \beta_{2}^{2} \right) 
+ \frac{23}{12\epsilon^{3}} \beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \beta_{1}^{4} \right).$$
(2)

Equation for  $c_i$  can be now easily solved with the result

$$c_{1} = c_{2} = 0,$$

$$c_{3} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{4} + \frac{5\epsilon^{2}}{6}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{6} - \frac{7\epsilon^{4}}{2}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{8},$$

$$c_{4} = \frac{1}{4\epsilon}(\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{4}} - \beta_{1}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}})\zeta_{4} - \frac{3}{8}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{4} - \frac{5}{8}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}}\zeta_{6}$$

$$+ \frac{5\epsilon}{12}\beta_{1}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{6} + \epsilon^{2}(\frac{5}{8}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{6} + \frac{35}{16}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}}\zeta_{8}) - \frac{7\epsilon^{3}}{4}\beta_{1}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{8} - \frac{21\epsilon^{4}}{8}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}}\zeta_{8}$$

As the coefficients  $c_i$  have to be finite at  $\epsilon \to 0$  we arrive at the exact connection

$$eta_4^{\zeta_4} = eta_1 eta_3^{\zeta_3}$$

Repeating the same reasoning for L=5 and 6 (and similar one for the case of an AD) we arrive at a host of new exact identities for even zetas terms

#### Model independent predictions for $\beta$ and $\gamma$ for any 1-charge theory

$$\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{4}} = \beta_{1}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}$$

$$\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{4}} = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\beta_{2} + \frac{9}{8}\beta_{1}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}}$$

$$\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{6}} = \frac{15}{8}\beta_{1}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}}$$

$$\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4}} = 0$$

$$\beta_{6}^{\zeta_{4}} = \frac{3}{4}\beta_{2}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}} + \frac{6}{5}\beta_{1}\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{3}}$$

$$\beta_{6}^{\zeta_{6}} = \frac{5}{4}\beta_{2}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}} + 2\beta_{1}\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{5}} - \beta_{1}^{3}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}$$

$$\beta_{6}^{\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4}} = \frac{12}{5}\beta_{1}\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{3}^{2}}$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{4}^{\zeta_{4}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{1} + \frac{3}{2}\beta_{1}\gamma_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} \\ \gamma_{5}^{\zeta_{4}} &= -\frac{3}{8}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{1} + \frac{3}{2}\beta_{2}\gamma_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} - \beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{2} + \frac{3}{2}\beta_{1}\gamma_{4}^{\zeta_{3}} \\ \gamma_{5}^{\zeta_{6}} &= -\frac{5}{8}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}}\gamma_{1} + \frac{5}{2}\beta_{1}\gamma_{4}^{\zeta_{5}} \\ \gamma_{5}^{\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4}} &= 0 \\ \gamma_{6}^{\zeta_{4}} &= \frac{3}{2}\beta_{3}^{(1)}\gamma_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} - \frac{3}{10}\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{1} - \frac{3}{4}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{2} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}\beta_{2}\gamma_{4}^{\zeta_{3}} - \frac{3}{2}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{3}^{(1)} + \frac{3}{2}\beta_{1}\gamma_{5}^{\zeta_{3}} \\ \gamma_{6}^{\zeta_{6}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{5}}\gamma_{1} - \frac{5}{4}\beta_{4}^{\zeta_{5}}\gamma_{2} + \frac{5}{2}\beta_{2}\gamma_{4}^{\zeta_{5}} \\ &+ \frac{5}{2}\beta_{1}\gamma_{5}^{\zeta_{5}} + \frac{3}{2}\beta_{1}^{2}\beta_{3}^{\zeta_{3}}\gamma_{1} - \frac{5}{2}\beta_{1}^{3}\gamma_{3}^{\zeta_{3}} \\ \gamma_{6}^{\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4}} &= -\frac{3}{5}\beta_{5}^{\zeta_{3}^{2}}\gamma_{1} + 3\beta_{1}\gamma_{5}^{\zeta_{3}^{2}} \end{split}$$

$$\beta_6^{\zeta_8} = \frac{14}{5} \beta_1 \beta_5^{\zeta_7}$$

$$\beta_6^{\zeta_3} = 0$$

$$\beta_6^{\zeta_4\zeta_5} = 0$$

$$\gamma_6^{\zeta_8} = -\frac{7}{10} \beta_5^{\zeta_7} \gamma_1 + \frac{7}{2} \beta_1 \gamma_5^{\zeta_7}$$

$$\gamma_6^{\zeta_3} = 0$$

$$\gamma_6^{\zeta_4\zeta_5} = 0$$

#### The above constraints have been sucessfully checked on the following examples:

L=4 and 5: numerous QCD RG functions (including gauge-dependent ones taken in the Landau gauge) recently computed in

/K.Ch, Falcioni, Herzog and J Vermaseren [1709.08541] .

L=4,5 and 6:  $\beta$ -function and ADs of O(n)  $\phi^4$  model recently computed in Batkovich, K. Ch. and Kompaniets, [1601.01960] ( $\gamma_2$  only) Schnetz, [1606.08598] ( $\beta, \gamma_2, \gamma_m$ ) Kompaniets and Panzer, [1705.06483] ( $\beta, \gamma_2, \gamma_m$ )

#### **Predictions for 6-loop QCD RG functions:**

$$\beta_{6} = \frac{\pi}{405} \left[ \frac{608}{405} n_{f}^{5} \zeta_{4} \right] + n_{f}^{4} \left( \frac{164792}{1215} \zeta_{4} - \frac{1840}{27} \zeta_{6} \right) + n_{f}^{3} \left( -\frac{4173428}{405} \zeta_{4} + \frac{1800280}{243} \zeta_{6} \right)$$

$$+ n_{f}^{2} \left( \frac{68750632}{405} \zeta_{4} - \frac{13834700}{81} \zeta_{6} \right) + n_{f} \left( -\frac{146487538}{135} \zeta_{4} + \frac{40269130}{27} \zeta_{6} \right)$$

$$+99 \left( 44213 \zeta_{4} - 64020 \zeta_{6} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_6^m & \stackrel{\pi}{=} & \boxed{\frac{320}{243} n_f^5 \, \zeta_4 + n_f^4 \, (-\frac{90368}{405} \, \zeta_4 + \frac{22400}{81} \, \zeta_6)} \\ & + n_f^3 \, (-\frac{92800}{27} \, \zeta_3 \, \zeta_4 - \frac{2872156}{405} \, \zeta_4 + \frac{503360}{243} \, \zeta_6) \\ & + n_f^2 \, (\frac{661760}{9} \, \zeta_3 \, \zeta_4 + \frac{155801234}{405} \, \zeta_4 - \frac{378577520}{729} \, \zeta_6 + \frac{12740000}{81} \, \zeta_8) \\ & + n_f \, (-\frac{1413280}{3} \, \zeta_3 \, \zeta_4 - \frac{4187656168}{1215} \, \zeta_4 + \frac{5912758120}{729} \, \zeta_6 - \frac{96071360}{27} \, \zeta_8) \\ & + 3194400 \, \zeta_3 \, \zeta_4 + \frac{272688530}{81} \, \zeta_4 - \frac{6778602160}{243} \, \zeta_6 + 15889720 \, \zeta_8 \end{split}$$

boxed terms are in FULL AGREEMENT with (about 20 years old) results by /Gracey (1996)/ and /Ciuchini, Derkachov, Gracey and Manashov (1999-2000)/ all other terms are new

### **Conclusions**

- We have demonstrated that all  $\pi$ -dependent terms in a generic (L+1)-loop MS— (or, equivalently, G-) anomalous dimension  $\gamma$  are completely fixed by  $\pi$ -independent contributions to  $\gamma$  (and corresponding  $\beta$ ) with loop number L or less provided the (all) L-loop p-master integrals are  $\pi$ -safe
- The  $\pi$ -safeness holds for L=4 and L=5 and, probably, for L=6. It is known that for L=7 the property (partially) stops to be valid and, thus, our predictions should be modified (at astronomically large for QCD level of L=8 RG functions)
- All available results at 5 (QCD), and 6 loops (large  $n_f$  QCD and the  $\phi^4$ -model) do meet all the constraints we have obtained
- The no- $\pi$  conjecture for all one-scale RG-invariant Euclidean correlators first suggested Jamin and Miravitllas less than a year ago has been proved and extended to a case of generic Euclidean correlators

\* communicated to us by Oliver Schnetz
(the problem is an appearence of the  $\zeta_{12}$  as indepenent term of some 7-loop finite p-integral,

see works by (F.Brown, O.Schnetz, E.Panzer . . . on Feynman periods)